

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTO	OR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/176,077	•	10/20/1998	ROBIN ARTHUR GRE	EN	CA9-98-038 7754		
25259	7590	05/11/2004			EXAMINER		
IBM CORPORATION					BASHORE, WILLIAM L		
3039 CORNWALLIS RD. DEPT. T81 / B503, PO BOX 12195					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	REASEARCH TRIANGLE PARK, NC 27709				2176		
					DATE MAILED: 05/11/2004	1)0	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\$

u. · · · · · ·	Application No.	Applicant(s)	7
Advisory Action	09/176,077	GREEN, ROBIN ARTHUR	
Advisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit	
	William L. Bashore	2176	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address	
THE REPLY FILED 15 April 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THE Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appe Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	avoid abandonment of this application (1) a timely filed amendment whi	cation. A proper reply to a ich places the application i	in
PERIOD FOR RE	EPLY [check either a) or b)]		
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing of the period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advevent, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later the ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f).	risory Action, or (2) the date set forth in th an SIX MONTHS from the mailing date o	f the final rejection.	
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The data have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exten 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three more arrived patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	sion and the corresponding amount of the d statutory period for reply originally set in	e fee. The appropriate extension for the final Office action; or (2) as se	ee under et forth in
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CF			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered by	ecause:		
(a) they raise new issues that would require furth	er consideration and/or search ((see NOTE below);	
(b) they raise the issue of new matter (see Note I	below);		
(c) they are not deemed to place the application issues for appeal; and/or	in better form for appeal by mat	erially reducing or simplify	ing the
(d) they present additional claims without cancel NOTE:	ling a corresponding number of	finally rejected claims.	
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	ction(s):		
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a s	eparate, timely filed amer	ndment
5. ☑ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☑ request fo application in condition for allowance because: See		sidered but does NOT plac	e the
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered be raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	cause it is not directed SOLELY	to issues which were new	'ly
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims w			1
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) app	proved or b) disapproved by	the Examiner.	
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Stateme	nt(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	A. 1	
10. Other:	F	STEPHENS HONG PRIMARY EXAMINER	·



Continuation of 5. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicant argues on pages 2-34 of the request that the combination of cited references do not teach and/or suggest Applicant's instant claims. The examiner notes that the cited references used in the rejections are in the same general field of endeavor, and at least teaches/suggests the claimed limitations as currently claimed (see also Final Office action mailed 3/16/2004).